```
TRACY L. WILKISON
 1
    Acting United States Attorney
 2
    CHRISTOPHER D. GRIGG
    Assistant United States Attorney
 3
    Chief, National Security Division
    DAVID T. RYAN (Cal. Bar No. 295785)
 4
    Assistant United States Attorney
    Terrorism and Export Crimes Section
 5
         1500 United States Courthouse
         312 North Spring Street
 6
         Los Angeles, California 90012
         Telephone: (213) 894-4491
 7
         Facsimile: (213) 894-2979
         E-mail:
                     david.ryan@usdoj.gov
 8
    Attorneys for Plaintiff
 9
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
10
                         UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                    FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11
12
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                        No. CR 20-246-JGB
13
              Plaintiff,
                                         PLEA AGREEMENT FOR DEFENDANT
                                         NIZAR FARHAT
14
                   V.
15
    NIZAR FARHAT,
16
              Defendant.
17
         1.
18
              This constitutes the plea agreement between Nizar Farhat
19
    ("defendant") and the United States Attorney's Office for the Central
20
    District of California (the "USAO") in the above-captioned case.
21
    This agreement is limited to the USAO and cannot bind any other
22
    federal, state, local, or foreign prosecuting, enforcement,
23
    administrative, or regulatory authorities.
24
                            DEFENDANT'S OBLIGATIONS
25
         2.
              Defendant agrees to:
26
                   At the earliest opportunity requested by the USAO and
```

provided by the Court, appear and plead quilty to counts one and two

of the indictment in United States v. Nizar Farhat, CR No. 20-246-

27

- JGB, which charge defendant with Public Official Receiving Illegal Gratuity in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 201(c)(1)(B), and Public Official Receiving Compensation from Private Party for Government Services in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 209(a) and 216(a)(2).
 - b. Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
- c. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.
- d. Appear for all court appearances, surrender as ordered for service of sentence, obey all conditions of any bond, and obey any other ongoing court order in this matter.
- e. Not commit any crime; however, offenses that would be excluded for sentencing purposes under United States Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Sentencing Guidelines") § 4A1.2(c) are not within the scope of this agreement.
- f. Be truthful at all times with the United States
 Probation and Pretrial Services Office and the Court.
- g. Pay the applicable special assessments at or before the time of sentencing unless defendant has demonstrated a lack of ability to pay such assessments.

THE USAO'S OBLIGATIONS

3. The USAO agrees to:

- a. Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
- b. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.
- c. At the time of sentencing, provided that defendant demonstrates an acceptance of responsibility for the offenses up to and including the time of sentencing, recommend a two-level reduction in the applicable Sentencing Guidelines offense level, pursuant to

U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, and recommend and, if necessary, move for an additional one-level reduction if available under that section.

NATURE OF THE OFFENSES

- 4. Defendant understands that for defendant to be guilty of the crime charged in count one, that is, Public Official Receiving Illegal Gratuity, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 201(c)(1)(B), the following must be true: (1) defendant was a public official; and (2) defendant received or agreed to receive something of value, specifically, money, personally for or because of an official act performed or to be performed by the defendant.
- 5. Defendant understands that for defendant to be guilty of the crime charged in count two, that is, Public Official Receiving Compensation from Private Party for Government Services, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 209(a) and 216(a)(2), the following must be true: (1) defendant was an officer or employee of the executive branch of the United States; (2) defendant received a payment or thing of value as salary, or contribution to or supplementation of salary; (3) the salary, contribution, or supplementation was for the defendant's service as an officer or employee of the executive branch of the United States; (4) the salary, contribution, or supplementation was from a source other than the United States Government, or a state or local government; and (5) defendant acted willfully.

PENALTIES

6. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 201(c)(1)(B) is: 5 years imprisonment; a 3-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or

gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.

- 7. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 209(a) and 216(a)(2) is: 5 years imprisonment; a 3-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.
- 8. Defendant understands, therefore, that the total maximum sentence for all offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty is: 10 years imprisonment; a 3-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$500,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offenses, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$200.
- 9. Defendant understands that supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which defendant will be subject to various restrictions and requirements. Defendant understands that if defendant violates one or more of the conditions of any supervised release imposed, defendant may be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release authorized by statute for the offense that resulted in the term of supervised release, which could result in defendant serving a total term of imprisonment greater than the statutory maximum stated above.
- 10. Defendant understands that, by pleading guilty, defendant may be giving up valuable government benefits and valuable civic rights, such as the right to vote, the right to possess a firearm, the right to hold office, and the right to serve on a jury. Defendant understands that he is pleading guilty to a felony and that it is a

federal crime for a convicted felon to possess a firearm or ammunition. Defendant understands that the convictions in this case may also subject defendant to various other collateral consequences, including but not limited to revocation of probation, parole, or supervised release in another case and suspension or revocation of a professional license. Defendant understands that unanticipated collateral consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty pleas.

11. Defendant understands that, if defendant is not a United States citizen, the felony convictions in this case may subject defendant to: removal, also known as deportation, which may, under some circumstances, be mandatory; denial of citizenship; and denial of admission to the United States in the future. The Court cannot, and defendant's attorney also may not be able to, advise defendant fully regarding the immigration consequences of the felony convictions in this case. Defendant understands that unexpected immigration consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty pleas.

FACTUAL BASIS

12. Defendant admits that defendant is, in fact, guilty of the offenses to which defendant is agreeing to plead guilty. Defendant and the USAO agree to the statement of facts provided below and agree that this statement of facts is sufficient to support pleas of guilty to the charges described in this agreement and to establish the Sentencing Guidelines factors set forth in paragraph 14 below but is not meant to be a complete recitation of all facts relevant to the underlying criminal conduct or all facts known to either party that relate to that conduct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Between November 2009 and at least February 2018, defendant worked for the Department of Defense as a Construction Manager based at the Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center, Twentynine Palms Base, Twentynine Palms, California. In May 2013, a privately held construction company based in Massachusetts ("U.S. Company #1") was awarded a \$15 million contract by the United States Navy to construct an aircraft hangar and a telecommunications facility at the United States Navy Base at Camp Lemonnier, Djibouti City, Republic of Djibouti ("Camp Lemonnier"). Between June 2014 and December 2015, defendant was assigned a temporary duty to Camp Lemonnier to oversee, among other responsibilities, U.S. Company #1's construction of the aircraft hangar and telecommunications facility. In April 2016, the Department of Defense certified that U.S. Company #1 had completed the construction of the aircraft hangar and telecommunications facility at Camp Lemonnier. In April 2017, U.S. Company #1 submitted Requests for Equitable Adjustment ("REAs") to the Department of Defense, seeking approximately \$6.43 million in payments from the Department of Defense for additional costs U.S. Company #1 incurred during the construction of the aircraft hangar and telecommunications facility.

Beginning on or about December 18, 2015 and continuing until on or about October 25, 2017, in Riverside County, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant, a public official, otherwise than as provided by law for the proper discharge of official duties, directly and indirectly, corruptly demanded, sought, received, accepted, and agreed to receive and accept something of value personally, namely, \$22,000 in cash payments, described further below, in return for and because of official acts performed and to be

performed by defendant, namely: (1) recommending that the Department of Defense certify the completion of U.S. Company #1's projects at Camp Lemonnier; and (2) recommending that the Department of Defense approve and pay the REAs U.S. Company #1 submitted.

Beginning on or about December 20, 2015, and continuing until on or about April 14, 2017, in Riverside County, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant, being an officer and employee of the executive branch of the United States Government, that is a Construction Manager employed by the Department of Defense, knowingly and willfully received salary, and contribution to and supplementation of salary, from a source other than the United States Government, as compensation for defendant's services as an officer and employee of the executive branch of the United States Government, that is, approximately \$15,000 in cash payments, described further below, in exchange for providing advice and for drafting the REAS U.S. Company #1 submitted to the Department of Defense.

Defendant received the cash payments identified above on four separate occasions. He received the first payment on December 18, 2015. On that date, defendant met with the President of U.S. Company #1 at a hotel in Las Vegas, Nevada and accepted at least \$15,000 in cash from the President of U.S. Company #1.

Defendant received the second payment on June 10, 2016. On that date, defendant met with the President and Vice President of U.S. Company #1 at a hotel in Las Vegas, Nevada. During the meeting, defendant reviewed drafts of the REAs and advised the U.S. Company #1 representatives on how to revise them. Following that meeting, defendant accepted approximately \$10,000 in cash from the President of U.S. Company #1.

Between June and November 2016, defendant provided advice on multiple occasions by phone to employees of U.S. Company #1 as they continued to draft the REAs.

Defendant received the third payment on November 2, 2016. On that date, defendant met again with the President and Vice President of U.S. Company #1 at a hotel in Palm Springs, California. During the meeting, defendant reviewed revised drafts of the REAs and advised the U.S. Company #1 representatives on how to revise them. Following that meeting, defendant accepted approximately \$5,000 in cash from the President of U.S. Company #1.

U.S. Company #1 submitted the REAs in April 2017 and the Department of Defense began to review them in September 2017. Between September 13, 2017 and October 23, 2017, defendant participated in conference calls with other Department of Defense officials involved in the review of the REAs regarding the validity of the REAs, and provided written reports to those officials in which he recommended that they approve approximately \$4.17 million of the \$6.43 million that U.S. Company #1 had requested.

Defendant received the fourth payment on October 24, 2017. On that date, defendant met with the President and Vice President of U.S. Company #1 at a hotel in Las Vegas. During the meeting, defendant discussed the Department of Defense's review of the REAs and advised the President and Vice President of U.S. Company #1 that the Department of Defense would likely offer U.S. Company #1 approximately \$4.2 million. Following that meeting, defendant accepted approximately \$7,000 in cash from the President of U.S. Company #1.

In November and December 2017, defendant sent additional emails to Department of Defense officials involved in the review of the REAs to encourage them to promptly offer to pay U.S. Company #1 approximately \$4.17 million to resolve the REAs. Defendant did not disclose to the Department of Defense that he had participated in drafting the REAs or that he had accepted cash payments from U.S. Company #1.

SENTENCING FACTORS

- 13. Defendant understands that in determining defendant's sentence the Court is required to calculate the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range and to consider that range, possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines, and the other sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). Defendant understands that the Sentencing Guidelines are advisory only, that defendant cannot have any expectation of receiving a sentence within the calculated Sentencing Guidelines range, and that after considering the Sentencing Guidelines and the other § 3553(a) factors, the Court will be free to exercise its discretion to impose any sentence it finds appropriate up to the maximum set by statute for the crimes of conviction.
- 14. Defendant and the USAO agree to the following applicable Sentencing Guidelines factors:

U.S.S.G. § 2C1.2(a)(1)

```
Specific Offense
Characteristics

Multiple Gratuities +2 U.S.S.G. § 2C1.2(b) (1)

More than $15,000 +4 U.S.S.G. § 2C1.2(b) (2)
```

Base Offense Level:

Defendant and the USAO reserve the right to argue that additional specific offense characteristics, adjustments, and departures under the Sentencing Guidelines are appropriate.

- 15. Defendant understands that there is no agreement as to defendant's criminal history or criminal history category.
- 16. Defendant and the USAO reserve the right to argue for a sentence outside the sentencing range established by the Sentencing Guidelines based on the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1), (a)(2), (a)(3), (a)(6), and (a)(7).

WAIVER OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

- 17. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty, defendant gives up the following rights:
 - a. The right to persist in a plea of not guilty.
 - b. The right to a speedy and public trial by jury.
- c. The right to be represented by counsel -- and if necessary have the Court appoint counsel -- at trial. Defendant understands, however, that, defendant retains the right to be represented by counsel -- and if necessary have the Court appoint counsel -- at every other stage of the proceeding.
- d. The right to be presumed innocent and to have the burden of proof placed on the government to prove defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
- e. The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against defendant.
- f. The right to testify and to present evidence in opposition to the charges, including the right to compel the attendance of witnesses to testify.

g. The right not to be compelled to testify, and, if defendant chose not to testify or present evidence, to have that choice not be used against defendant.

h. Any and all rights to pursue any affirmative defenses, Fourth Amendment or Fifth Amendment claims, and other pretrial motions that have been filed or could be filed.

WAIVER OF APPEAL OF CONVICTION

18. Defendant understands that, with the exception of an appeal based on a claim that defendant's guilty pleas were involuntary, by pleading guilty defendant is waiving and giving up any right to appeal defendant's convictions on the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty. Defendant understands that this waiver includes, but is not limited to, arguments that the statutes to which defendant is pleading guilty are unconstitutional, and any and all claims that the statement of facts provided herein is insufficient to support defendant's pleas of guilty.

LIMITED MUTUAL WAIVER OF APPEAL OF SENTENCE

19. Defendant agrees that, provided the Court imposes a total term of imprisonment on all counts of conviction of no more than 21 months, defendant gives up the right to appeal all of the following:

(a) the procedures and calculations used to determine and impose any portion of the sentence; (b) the term of imprisonment imposed by the Court; (c) the fine imposed by the Court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; (d) to the extent permitted by law, the constitutionality or legality of defendant's sentence, provided it is within the statutory maximum; (f) the term of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; and (g) any of the following conditions of probation or

supervised release imposed by the Court: the conditions set forth in Second Amended General Order 20-04 of this Court; the drug testing conditions mandated by 18 U.S.C. §§ 3563(a)(5) and 3583(d); and the alcohol and drug use conditions authorized by 18 U.S.C. § 3563(b)(7).

20. The USAO agrees that, provided (a) all portions of the sentence are at or below the statutory maximum specified above and (b) the Court imposes a term of imprisonment of no less than 10 months, the USAO gives up its right to appeal any portion of the sentence.

RESULT OF WITHDRAWAL OF GUILTY PLEA

21. Defendant agrees that if, after entering guilty pleas pursuant to this agreement, defendant seeks to withdraw and succeeds in withdrawing defendant's guilty pleas on any basis other than a claim and finding that entry into this plea agreement was involuntary, then the USAO will be relieved of all of its obligations under this agreement.

EFFECTIVE DATE OF AGREEMENT

22. This agreement is effective upon signature and execution of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney.

BREACH OF AGREEMENT

23. Defendant agrees that if defendant, at any time after the signature of this agreement and execution of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney, knowingly violates or fails to perform any of defendant's obligations under this agreement ("a breach"), the USAO may declare this agreement breached. All of defendant's obligations are material, a single breach of this agreement is sufficient for the

USAO to declare a breach, and defendant shall not be deemed to have cured a breach without the express agreement of the USAO in writing. If the USAO declares this agreement breached, and the Court finds such a breach to have occurred, then: (a) if defendant has previously entered guilty pleas pursuant to this agreement, defendant will not be able to withdraw the guilty pleas, and (b) the USAO will be relieved of all its obligations under this agreement.

COURT AND UNITED STATES PROBATION AND PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICE NOT PARTIES

- 24. Defendant understands that the Court and the United States Probation and Pretrial Services Office are not parties to this agreement and need not accept any of the USAO's sentencing recommendations or the parties' agreements to facts or sentencing factors.
- 25. Defendant understands that both defendant and the USAO are free to: (a) supplement the facts by supplying relevant information to the United States Probation and Pretrial Services Office and the Court, (b) correct any and all factual misstatements relating to the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations and determination of sentence, and (c) argue on appeal and collateral review that the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations and the sentence it chooses to impose are not error, although each party agrees to maintain its view that the calculations in paragraph 14 are consistent with the facts of this case. While this paragraph permits both the USAO and defendant to submit full and complete factual information to the United States Probation and Pretrial Services Office and the Court, even if that factual information may be viewed as inconsistent with the facts agreed to in this agreement, this

paragraph does not affect defendant's and the USAO's obligations not to contest the facts agreed to in this agreement.

26. Defendant understands that even if the Court ignores any sentencing recommendation, finds facts or reaches conclusions different from those agreed to, and/or imposes any sentence up to the maximum established by statute, defendant cannot, for that reason, withdraw defendant's guilty pleas, and defendant will remain bound to fulfill all defendant's obligations under this agreement. Defendant understands that no one -- not the prosecutor, defendant's attorney, or the Court -- can make a binding prediction or promise regarding the sentence defendant will receive, except that it will be within the statutory maximum.

NO ADDITIONAL AGREEMENTS

27. Defendant understands that, except as set forth herein, there are no promises, understandings, or agreements between the USAO and defendant or defendant's attorney, and that no additional promise, understanding, or agreement may be entered into unless in a writing signed by all parties or on the record in court.

PLEA AGREEMENT PART OF THE GUILTY PLEA HEARING

28. The parties agree that this agreement will be considered //

Case 5:20-cr-00246-JGB Document 22 Filed 05/24/21 Page 15 of 17 Page ID #:111

1	part of the record of defendant's guilty plea hearing as if the	
2	entire agreement had been read into the record of the proceeding.	
3	AGREED AND ACCEPTED	
4	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
5		
6	TRACY L. WILKISON	
7	Acting United States Attorney	
8	Ind of	5/24/21
9	DAVID T. RYAN Assistant United States Attorney	Date
10	NYA A	5/21/2021
11	NIZAR FARHAT Defendant / 0	Date
12	bereindan't have	5/21/21
13	MEGHAN BLANCO	Date
14	Attorney for Defendant NIZAR FARHAT	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT

I have read this agreement in its entirety. I have had enough time to review and consider this agreement, and I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of it with my attorney. I understand the terms of this agreement, and I voluntarily agree to those terms. I have discussed the evidence with my attorney, and my attorney has advised me of my rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. No promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to me other than those contained in this agreement. No one has threatened or forced me in any way to enter into this agreement. I am satisfied with the representation of my attorney in this matter, and I am pleading quilty because I am quilty of the charges and wish to take advantage of the promises set forth in this agreement, and not for any other reason.

20 NIZAR FARHAT

Defendant

5/21/2021

Date

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

2526

27

CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY

I am NIZAR FARHAT's attorney. I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of this agreement with my client. Further, I have fully advised my client of his rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. To my knowledge: no promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to my client other than those contained in this agreement; no one has threatened or forced my client in any way to enter into this agreement; my client's decision to enter into this agreement is an informed and voluntary one; and the factual basis set forth in this agreement is sufficient to support my client's entry of guilty pleas pursuant to this agreement.

Date

5/21/21

MEGHAN BLANCO
Attorney for Defendant NIZAR FARHAT