

United States Office of Government Ethics 1201 New York Avenue, NW., Suite 500 Washington, DC 20005-3917

> February 22, 2007 DO-07-005

MEMORANDUM

TO: Designated Agency Ethics Officials

FROM: Robert I. Cusick Director

SUBJECT: New OLC Opinion on SGE Day-Counting

On January 26, 2007, the Office of Legal Counsel (OLC), Department of Justice, issued an opinion reaffirming the longstanding executive branch interpretation that service by a special Government employee (SGE) for part of any day counts as service for a full day, for purposes of relevant limits on the number of days of service under the conflict of interest laws. Memorandum of Steven G. Bradbury, Acting Assistant Attorney General, OLC, to William J. Haynes II, General Counsel, Department of Defense, January 26, 2007, available on the DOJ website at <u>http://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/olc/opinions/attachments/2015/05/29/op-olcv031-p0013.pdf</u>. The opinion specifically rejected the interpretation that "a single day for counting purposes would comprise eight hours of work, even if performed over several days." Id. at 1.

The subject of SGE day-counting also is addressed in our own recent DAEOgram, "Counting Days of Service for Special Government Employees," DO-07-002, issued January 19, 2007. See https://www.oge.gov/Web/oge.nsf/Resources/DO-07-002:+Counting+Days+of+Service+for+Special+Government+Employees. That DAEOgram likewise affirmed that a part of a day of service should be counted as a full day. <u>Id.</u> at 3-4. At the same time, the DAEOgram recognized that certain activities by an SGE on a given day may be so insubstantial or de minimis that days on which those activities alone are performed need not be counted toward any applicable day limits. The new OLC opinion briefly discusses the DAEOgram and notes that OGE's guidance concerning de minimis activities "mitigates some of the effects the existing rule may have on [an agency's] ability to attract and retain consultants as SGEs" and also "weighs against any argument that the practical administrative demands of the Government require a change to the existing rule." OLC Opinion at 9 n.4.